Name:Giorgos P. ArgyropoulosSupervisors:Dr. Thomas H. Bak, Dr. Patrick Sturt, Prof. James R. HurfordSubject area:Neurolinguistics, psycholinguistics, grammaticalizationDegree course:PhD in the Language Evolution and Computation Research Unit (LEC)Year of Study:2<sup>nd</sup> year

Preferred method of presentation:	paper
Information regarding availability during the conference:	Will be available all days
Technical support required:	PowerPoint

 Title:
 From the neocerebellum to grammaticalization

Abstract: Please see next page

## FROM THE NEOCEREBELLUM TO GRAMMATICALIZATION

GIORGOS P. ARGYROPOULOS

Language Evolution and Computation Research Unit, University of Edinburgh 40 George Square, Edinburgh, EH8 9LL, Scotland, UK giorgos@ling.ed.ac.uk

It has been extensively argued that grammaticalization relies on the qualitative changes induced by automatized linguistic processing in the intra-generational level of casual adult linguistic behavior (e.g., Bybee, 1998; Lehmann, 2004). Given the fundamental role of the cerebellum for the automatization of behavioral repertoires (e.g., Thach, 1998), along with the interconnectivity of the phylogenetically recent neocerebellum with language- and thought- related cortical loci of the prefrontal cortex (Leiner et al., 1991), and the unitary computation that the cerebellum undertakes in contributing to different cortically specialized behaviors (e.g., Wolpert et al., 1998), I articulate a basic neurolinguistic mechanism reflecting routinization processes of linguistic performance. The mechanism is explicitly based on Pickering and Garrod's (2007) Kalman filter psycholinguistic processor, a dynamical state estimator neurally instantiated in the cerebellum (Paulin, 1989). Returning to the particular grammaticalization phenomena, I examine how the *"lateralized linguistic cerebellum"* (Mariën et al., 2001) might underlie the operation of chunking, phonetic attrition (e.g., Bybee, 1998), and the proceduralization of conceptual representations (e.g., Nicolle, 1998). Closing, I present the experimental hypotheses generated on the basis of this neurolinguistic model, to be tested by psychopathological research under preparation.

## References

Bybee, J.L. (1998). A functionalist approach to grammar and its evolution. Evolution of Communication, 2, 249-278.

Lehmann, C. (2004). Theory and method in grammaticalization. Zeitschrift fur Germanistische Linguistik 32(2), 152-187.

Leiner, H. C., Leiner, A. L., & Dow, R. S. (1991). The human cerebro-cerebellar system: Its computing, cognitive, and language skills. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 24, 113–128.

Mariën, P., Engelborghs, S., Fabbro, F., & De Deyn, P. P. (2001). The lateralized linguistic cerebellum: A review and a new hypothesis. *Brain and Language*, 79, 580–600.

Nicolle, S. (1998). A relevance theory perspective on grammaticalization. Cognitive Linguistics, 9(1), 1-35.

Paulin, M.G. (1989). A Kalman filter theory of the cerebellum. In M.A. Arbib and S.-I. Amari (Eds.), *Dynamic Interactions in Neural Networks: Models and Data* (pp. 239-259). New York: Springer.

Pickering, M. J., & Garrod, S. (2007). Do people use language production to make predictions during comprehension? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(3), 105-110.

Thach, W.T. (1998). What is the role of the cerebellum in motor learning and cognition? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2(9), 331-337.

Wolpert, D.M., Miall, R.C., & Kawato, M. (1998). Internal models in the cerebellum. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2(9), 338-347.